

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



ST

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/771,119	01/26/2001	Brant Monson	1082.BMON.PT	1082.BMON.PT 7002	
7:	590 03/23/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Randall B. Bateman			· COLLINS, GIOVANNA M		
P.O. Box 1319 Salt Lake City, UT 84110-1319			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3672		
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004	DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	09/771,119	MONSON, BRANT			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
The MAII INC DATE of this communication and	Giovanna M. Collins	3672			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the c ver sheet with the t	corresp indence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ul>					
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-6,12-15,17-29 and 33-35 is/are reje 7)  Claim(s) 7-11,16 and 30-32 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
a) The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accompliant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the examine the contract of the specific property of the specific property of the examine to be specifically dependent on the specific property of the examine to be specifically dependent on the specific property of the examine to be specifically dependent on the specific property of the examine to be specifically dependent on the examine to the examine to be specifically dependent on the examine to be specified to be spec	epted or b)⊠ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 892)	A) 🗖 Imboniano Guarance	(PTO 412)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed November 28,2003 is insufficient to overcome 1. the rejection of claims 1-6,12-15,17-29 and 33-35 based upon Gogan et al. ('232) in view of Weiss, as set forth in the last Office action because: The declaration fails to give the names and job positions of the persons which were spoken to at Harley Davidson. The declaration also does not disclose any reasons as to why a linear locking device was not suitable on the motorcycle accessory.

In view of the foregoing, when all of the evidence is considered, the totality of the rebuttal evidence of nonobviousness fails to outweigh the evidence of obviousness.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-6, 12-15, 17-19 and 21-29, 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gogan et al. ('232) in view of Weiss ('021).

Gogan et al. disclose (see Figs. 2 and 9) a quick release system for mounting a backrest on a motorcycle, the system comprising a side bracket plate (26) having a first notch (see Fig. 8 at 48) extending inwardly generally horizontally from an end of the side bracket plate, and a second notch (see Fig. 1, at 50) extending generally upwardly into the side bracket plate from a

bottom thereof, the first and second notches being configured to receive a bolt head. Gogan does disclose a retaining means (56) mounted to the side bracket plate for selectively allowing movement of a bolt head into the second notch but does not disclose that it is slidable. Weiss teaches (see Fig. 1) a linear slidable retaining means (5) that mounted to a side bracket plate (1) for selectively allowing movement of tubular member (21) into a notch (at 31). Weiss teaches that the retaining means is has a relatively low cost and has improved safety features to prevent unintentional uncoupling (see col. 1, lines 5-8). As it would be advantageous to prevent unintentional uncoupling of the retaining means, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the quick release system disclosed by Gogan et al. to have the slidable retaining means taught by Weiss.

Referring to claim 2, Gogan et al. does not disclose at least one semi —circular grommet. Weiss teaches at least one semi-circular grommet (at 2) disposed along one of the notches. The semi-circular grommet is thicker and thereby strengthens the area around the notch. As it would be advantageous to have the notch are to be strengthen it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the system disclosed by Gogan et al. to include the semicircular grommet as taught by Weiss.

Referring to claim 3, Weiss teaches wherein the slidable retaining means (at 5) comprises a retaining pin (5) slidable between a first position (at 17), wherein the pin prevents movement of a tubular member out of a notch, and a second position (at 19), wherein the retaining pin does not prevent movement of a bolt head and out of the second notch.

Referring to claim 4, Weis teaches wherein the retaining pin (5) is biased into the first position (see col. 1, lines 36-40).

Referring to claim 5, Weiss teaches a handle (14) attached to the retaining pin such that movement of the handle away from a second notch moves the retaining pin from the first position to a second position.

Referring to claim 6, Weis teaches a locking means (at 19 and 20).

Referring to claim 12, Weiss teaches a locking means (at 19 and 20).

Referring to claim 13, Weiss teaches the locking means comprises a locking pin (14).

Referring to claim 14, Weis teaches a locking hole (17) the locking pin (14) extends into a locking hole to prevent movement of the retaining means.

Referring to claim 15, Weiss teaches a locking notch (17) that the locking pin (14) extends into to prevent movement of the retaining means.

Referring to claim 17, Gogan et al. disclose at least one bolt (20), the bolt having a bolt head with a generally annular channel formed therein (see fig. 3, at 21), the bolt head being configured for nesting in the second notch.

Referring to claim 18, Gogan et al. disclose two bolts (at 20 and at 18) each having a generally annular channel formed therein and each being configured for nesting in one of the first and second notches.

Referring to claim 19, Gogan et al., as modified, discloses the quick release system according to claim 17 but does not discloses wherein the bolt head further comprising a second annular channel. However, duplicating the components of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960). Therefore it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to further modified the release system disclosed by Gogan et al. to have a second annular channel

on the bolt head because duplicating the components of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art.

Referring to claim 21, Gogan et al. disclose a side bracket plate (26) for use in a backrest quick release system, the side bracket plate comprising a first notch (at 48) configured for receiving a bolt head; a second notch (at 50) having an opening and being configured for receiving a bolt head. Gogan et al. disclose a retaining means but do not disclose that the retaining means is a retaining pin. Weiss teaches a retaining pin (5) positioned adjacent to a notch (at 21), the retaining pin being movable between a first position wherein the retaining pin prevents a tubular member disposed in the notch from being removed from the second notch, and a second position wherein the retaining pin does not prevent removal of the tubular member. Weiss teaches that the retaining means is relatively low cost and has improved safety features to prevent unintentional uncoupling (see col. 1, lines 5-8). As it would be advantageous to prevent unintentional uncoupling of the retaining means, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the quick release system disclosed by Gogan et al. to have the slidable retaining means taught by Weiss.

Referring to claim 22, Weiss teaches wherein the retaining pin (5) is spring loaded (at 8).

Referring to claim 23, Weiss teaches a handle (14) attached to the retaining pin (33) for selectively moving the retaining pin between the first and second positions.

Referring to claim 24, Weiss teaches a locking means (at 17) for selectively preventing movement of the retaining pin for the first poison to the second position.

Referring to claim 25, Weiss teaches a locking means comprises a locking pin (14) configured to engage a side bracket plate.

Application/Control Number: 09/771,119

Art Unit: 3672

on control (value).

Referring to claim 26, Weiss teaches a bracket plate that has a locking hole (at 17) and a locking pin (14) is configured for placement into the locking hole.

Page 6

Referring to claim 27, Weiss teaches a bracket plate that has a locking notch (at 17) and a locking pin (14) is configured for placement into the locking notch.

Referring to claim 28, Gogan et al. discloses a quick release system for mounting a back rest on a motorcycle, comprising a side bracket plate (58) having a first forward notch (at 72) generally horizontally from an end of the side bracket plate and a second notch (at 76) disposed adjacent a back end of the side bracket, extending generally upwardly into the side bracket plate from a bottom thereof, the first and second notches being configured to receive a bolt head. Gogan et al. disclose a retaining means (86) but do not disclose a slidable retaining pin. Weiss teaches a retaining pin (5) positioned adjacent to a notch (at 21), the retaining pin being movable between a first position wherein the retaining pin prevents a tubular member disposed in the notch from being removed from the second notch, and a second position wherein the retaining pin does not prevent removal of the tubular member. Weiss teaches that the retaining means is relatively low cost and has improved safety features to prevent unintentional uncoupling (see col. 1, lines 5-8). As it would be advantageous to prevent unintentional uncoupling of the retaining means, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the quick release system disclosed by Gogan et al. to have the slidable retaining means taught by Weiss.

Referring to claim 29, Weiss discloses a locking member (at 17) for preventing movement of the retaining pin into the second position.

Referring to claim 33, Weiss discloses a handle (14) that slides along the side bracket plate.

Referring to claim 34, Gogan et al. discloses a quick release system comprising a side bracket plate (26) configured for holding a back rest of a motorcycle, the side bracket plate having a first notch (see Fig. 8, at 48) and a second notch (see Fig. 1, at 50) formed therein each for receiving a bolt head. Gogan does not disclose a slidable retaining pin, Weiss teaches a slidable retaining pin (5) disposed adjacent a notch and movable between a first position (at 17) where the retain pin prevents movement of a tubular member out of a notch to a second position (at 19) allowing removal of a tubular member out of the notch. Weiss teaches that the retaining means is relatively low cost and has improved safety features to prevent unintentional uncoupling (see col. 1, lines 5-8). As it would be advantageous to prevent unintentional uncoupling of the retaining means, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the quick release system disclosed by Gogan et al. to have the slidable retaining means taught by Weiss.

Referring to claim 35, Weiss teaches a locking member for preventing movement of the slidable retaining pin into the second position.

2. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gogan et al. ('232) in view of Weiss ('021) as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of Albrecht ('405).

Gogan et al., modified, disclose the quick release system according to claim 17, but does not disclose wherein the bolt head further comprises female threaded portion. Albrecht teach

(see Fig. 3) a bolt head (10) that comprises a female threaded portion (18). Albrecht further teach that such bolts are common in the art (see col. 1, lines 54-55). The Albrecht reference would suggest that one of ordinary skill in the art would be familiar with the use of a bolt with a female threaded portion. Therefore it would be obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the bolt disclosed by Gogan et al. to have a female threaded portion as taught by Albrecht.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-11,16,30-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

In response to the argument concerning declaration in reference to Harley Davidson, No name and job position were given concerning the people who were spoken to at Harley Davidson. Furthermore, no facts were given as to why a sliding lock mechanism was unsuitable for use on a motorcycle accessory.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

Application/Control Number: 09/771,119 Page 9

Art Unit: 3672

The Gogan reference discloses a bracket with one type of latching mechanism to attach the bracket to a motorcycle. Weiss teaches a latching mechanism that is has a relatively low cost and is designed to prevent unintentionally uncoupling (see col. 1, lines 5-8). As it would be advantageous to have latching mechanism that has features that prevents it from be uncoupled and does not have a high cost, it would be obvious to modify Gogan to have the sliding latching mechanism disclosed by Weiss.

### Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Giovanna M. Collins whose telephone number is 703-306-5707. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3 M-F.

Application/Control Number: 09/771,119

Art Unit: 3672

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on 703-308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gmc

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3670

Page 10